



The Physics of Electroweak-scale right-handed neutrinos

P. Q. Hung

University of Virginia, Charlottesville

VSOP15, 20-31 July, 2009

The Standard Model

The Standard Model in a nutshell

- Modern particle theory is based on the gauge principle i.e. the action is invariant under:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)$$

where e.g.

The Standard Model

The Standard Model in a nutshell

- Modern particle theory is based on the gauge principle i.e. the action is invariant under:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)$$

where e.g.

- $\alpha(x) = \theta(x)$ when the symmetry gauge group is $U(1)$,

The Standard Model

The Standard Model in a nutshell

- Modern particle theory is based on the gauge principle i.e. the action is invariant under:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)$$

where e.g.

- $\alpha(x) = \theta(x)$ when the symmetry gauge group is $U(1)$,
- $\alpha(x) = \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{\theta}(x)$ when the symmetry gauge group is $SU(2)$

The Standard Model

- Strong, weak and electromagnetic forces are described by a symmetry (gauge) group:
 $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
with force carriers: $g^i, i = 1..8$ (gluons)
belonging to $SU(3)_c$ and $W^{\pm,0}, B$ (electroweak gauge bosons) belonging to $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ respectively..

The Standard Model

- Strong, weak and electromagnetic forces are described by a symmetry (gauge) group:
 $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
with force carriers: $g^i, i = 1..8$ (gluons) belonging to $SU(3)_c$ and $W^{\pm,0}, B$ (electroweak gauge bosons) belonging to $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ respectively..
- Quarks ($SU(3)_c$ triplets) and Leptons ($SU(3)_c$ singlets) are grouped as $SU(2)_L$ left-handed doublets and right-handed singlets.

The Standard Model

- $$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}_L$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

The Standard Model

- $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L$; $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L$; $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}_L$
 $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L$; $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L$; $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L$
- e_R, u_R, d_R, \dots $SU(2)_L$ singlets

The Standard Model

- $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}_L$
 $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L ; \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L$

- $e_R, u_R, d_R, \dots SU(2)_L$ singlets

- And, of course, the Higgs boson $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}$

The Standard Model

Gauge interactions: (concentrate on leptons here)

- Gauge interactions: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow$ Covariant derivative D_μ .

The Standard Model

Gauge interactions: (concentrate on leptons here)

- Gauge interactions: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow$ Covariant derivative D_μ .
- For doublets with $U(1)_Y$ quantum number $\frac{Y}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow D_\mu l_L = (\partial_\mu - ig\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{W}_\mu + ig'(\frac{1}{2})B_\mu)l_L$

The Standard Model

Gauge interactions: (concentrate on leptons here)

- Gauge interactions: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow$ Covariant derivative D_μ .
- For doublets with $U(1)_Y$ quantum number $\frac{Y}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow D_\mu l_L = (\partial_\mu - ig\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{W}_\mu + ig'(\frac{1}{2})B_\mu)l_L$
- For singlets with $U(1)_Y$ quantum number $\frac{Y}{2} = -1 \Rightarrow D_\mu e_R = (\partial_\mu + ig'B_\mu)e_R$

The Standard Model

Gauge interactions: (concentrate on leptons here)

- Gauge interactions: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow$ Covariant derivative D_μ .
- For doublets with $U(1)_Y$ quantum number $\frac{Y}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow D_\mu l_L = (\partial_\mu - ig\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{W}_\mu + ig'(\frac{1}{2})B_\mu)l_L$
- For singlets with $U(1)_Y$ quantum number $\frac{Y}{2} = -1 \Rightarrow D_\mu e_R = (\partial_\mu + ig'B_\mu)e_R$
- For the Higgs with $\frac{Y}{2} = 1 \Rightarrow D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu - ig\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{W}_\mu - ig'(\frac{1}{2})B_\mu)\phi$

The Standard Model

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking of SM

- VEV (Vacuum Expectation Value) of ϕ

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Standard Model

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking of SM

- VEV (Vacuum Expectation Value) of ϕ

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em} (Q = T_{3L} + \frac{Y}{2}) \Rightarrow$

$$Z_\mu = \cos \theta_W W_\mu^0 - \sin \theta_W B_\mu \text{ (Z boson);}$$

$$A_\mu = \sin \theta_W W_\mu^0 + \cos \theta_W B_\mu \text{ (photon).}$$

$$\cos \theta_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}; \quad \sin \theta_W = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}.$$

The Standard Model

- Gauge boson masses:

$$M_\gamma = 0; M_{W^\pm} = \frac{1}{2} g v, M_Z = \frac{M_{W^\pm}}{\cos \theta_W}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho \equiv \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} = 1.$$

The Standard Model

- Gauge boson masses:

$$M_\gamma = 0; M_{W^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}g v, M_Z = \frac{M_{W^\pm}}{\cos \theta_W}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho \equiv \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} = 1.$$

- The SM Higgs potential with Higgs doublets has a *global* $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry which breaks down to a **global custodial symmetry group** $SU(2)$ such that one has $\frac{1}{2}M_W^2 \vec{W}^\mu \cdot \vec{W}_\mu$.

The Standard Model

- Gauge boson masses:

$$M_\gamma = 0; M_{W^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}g v, M_Z = \frac{M_{W^\pm}}{\cos \theta_W}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho \equiv \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} = 1.$$

- The SM Higgs potential with Higgs doublets has a *global* $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry which breaks down to a **global custodial symmetry group** $SU(2)$ such that one has

$$\frac{1}{2}M_W^2 \vec{W}^\mu \cdot \vec{W}_\mu.$$

- W^0 has the same mass as W^\pm before mixing with B (of $U(1)_Y$) to give rise to Z and $\gamma \Rightarrow \rho = 1!$

The Standard Model

How fermions get masses in the SM

- Again concentrate on leptons here. Some lessons: Write a **gauge-invariant** Yukawa coupling of a **fermion bilinear** to the **Higgs scalar**.

The Standard Model

How fermions get masses in the SM

- Again concentrate on leptons here. Some lessons: Write a **gauge-invariant** Yukawa coupling of a **fermion bilinear** to the **Higgs scalar**.
- Under $SU(2)_L$: l_L and ϕ : doublets; e_R : singlet.
 $\Rightarrow g_e \bar{l}_L \phi e_R = g_e \bar{\nu}_L \phi^+ e_R + g_e \bar{e}_L \phi^0 e_R$ is $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ invariant.

The Standard Model

How fermions get masses in the SM

- Again concentrate on leptons here. Some lessons: Write a **gauge-invariant** Yukawa coupling of a **fermion bilinear** to the **Higgs scalar**.
- Under $SU(2)_L$: l_L and ϕ : doublets; e_R : singlet.
 $\Rightarrow g_e \bar{l}_L \phi e_R = g_e \bar{\nu}_L \phi^+ e_R + g_e \bar{e}_L \phi^0 e_R$ is $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ invariant.
- $\langle \phi^0 \rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow g_e \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{e}_L e_R + H.c. \Rightarrow$ Mass of charged lepton: $m_e = g_e \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$; $v \approx 246 \text{ GeV}$.

The Standard Model

- Minimal SM: **No** right-handed neutrinos \Rightarrow No Yukawa coupling to the Higgs \Rightarrow **No neutrino mass** similar to the charged leptons.

The Standard Model

- Minimal SM: **No** right-handed neutrinos \Rightarrow No Yukawa coupling to the Higgs \Rightarrow **No neutrino mass** similar to the charged leptons.
- Assessment of the SM: **Doublet Higgs, no ν_R 's** \Rightarrow **No mass** of the form $\bar{\nu}_L \nu_R$ (Dirac mass).

The Standard Model

- Minimal SM: **No** right-handed neutrinos \Rightarrow No Yukawa coupling to the Higgs \Rightarrow **No neutrino mass** similar to the charged leptons.
- Assessment of the SM: **Doublet Higgs**, no ν_R 's \Rightarrow **No mass** of the form $\bar{\nu}_L \nu_R$ (Dirac mass).
- Can one relax the particle content of the SM in order to accommodate tiny neutrino masses i.e. $m_\nu < 0.1 \text{ eV}$? Have to (boldly) go **beyond** the SM!

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Lightest charged lepton, the electron:
 $m_e = 0.5\text{MeV}$; Lightest quark, the u -quark:
 $m_u \sim 4\text{MeV}$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Lightest charged lepton, the electron:
 $m_e = 0.5\text{MeV}$; Lightest quark, the u -quark:
 $m_u \sim 4\text{MeV}$.
- Neutrino Oscillations point to nonzero neutrino masses.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Lightest charged lepton, the electron:
 $m_e = 0.5\text{MeV}$; Lightest quark, the u -quark:
 $m_u \sim 4\text{MeV}$.
- Neutrino Oscillations point to nonzero neutrino masses.
- Heaviest neutrino: $m_\nu \sim 0.1\text{eV}$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Lightest charged lepton, the electron:
 $m_e = 0.5\text{MeV}$; Lightest quark, the u -quark:
 $m_u \sim 4\text{MeV}$.
- Neutrino Oscillations point to nonzero neutrino masses.
- Heaviest neutrino: $m_\nu \sim 0.1\text{eV}$.
- Hint of a **very different** nature for the neutrinos! What is the nature of its mass?

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Renormalizable interactions

- Neutrino masses from ν_L alone? What type of mass?

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Renormalizable interactions

- Neutrino masses from ν_L alone? What type of mass?
- Using notations for 2-component Weyl spinors: Majorana (lepton number violating):
 $\nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Renormalizable interactions

- Neutrino masses from ν_L alone? What type of mass?
- Using notations for 2-component Weyl spinors: Majorana (lepton number violating):
 $\nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L$.
- For the students: Show that $\nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L$ is Lorentz invariant using $\Lambda_L^T \sigma_2 \Lambda_L = \sigma_2$ with
 $\Lambda_{L,R} = \exp(i \frac{\vec{\sigma}_2}{2} \cdot (\vec{\omega} \mp i \vec{v}))$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Notice $l_L^T \sigma_2 l_L = \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L + e_L^T \sigma_2 e_L$. \Rightarrow
 $2 \times 2 = 1 + 3$ under $SU(2)_L$ and has $\frac{Y}{2} = -1$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Notice $l_L^T \sigma_2 l_L = \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L + e_L^T \sigma_2 e_L$. \Rightarrow
 $2 \times 2 = 1 + 3$ under $SU(2)_L$ and has $\frac{Y}{2} = -1$.
- $SU(2)_L$ -singlet Higgs with $\frac{Y}{2} = +1$ ϕ_S^+ :
 $l_L^T \sigma_2 l_L \phi_S^+$ is allowed by gauge invariance but a
VEV of ϕ_S^+ would break charge conservation!
Out!

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Notice $l_L^T \sigma_2 l_L = \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L + e_L^T \sigma_2 e_L$. \Rightarrow
 $2 \times 2 = 1 + 3$ under $SU(2)_L$ and has $\frac{Y}{2} = -1$.
- $SU(2)_L$ -singlet Higgs with $\frac{Y}{2} = +1$ ϕ_S^+ :
 $l_L^T \sigma_2 l_L \phi_S^+$ is allowed by gauge invariance but a
VEV of ϕ_S^+ would break charge conservation!
Out!
- $SU(2)_L$ -triplet Higgs with $\frac{Y}{2} = +1$
 $\vec{\Delta} = (\Delta^{++}, \Delta^+, \Delta^0)$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Convenient to define:

$$\Delta_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

with: $\Delta \rightarrow U_L \Delta U_L^\dagger$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Convenient to define:

$$\Delta_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

with: $\Delta \rightarrow U_L \Delta U_L^\dagger$

- Gauge-invariant Yukawa interaction:

$$ig_\Delta l_L^T \sigma_2 (\tau_2 \Delta) l_L = g_\Delta \left(-e_L^T \sigma_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \nu_L + \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L \Delta^0 - e_L^T \sigma_2 e_L \Delta^{++} - \nu_L^T \sigma_2 e_L \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \right)$$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Convenient to define:

$$\Delta_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

with: $\Delta \rightarrow U_L \Delta U_L^\dagger$

- Gauge-invariant Yukawa interaction:

$$ig_\Delta l_L^T \sigma_2 (\tau_2 \Delta) l_L = g_\Delta \left(-e_L^T \sigma_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \nu_L + \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L \Delta^0 - e_L^T \sigma_2 e_L \Delta^{++} - \nu_L^T \sigma_2 e_L \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Delta^+ \right)$$

- $\langle \Delta^0 \rangle = v_\Delta \Rightarrow$ Majorana mass term

$$g_\Delta v_\Delta \nu_L^T \sigma_2 \nu_L$$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- In that scenario, v_Δ has to be **small** compared with the electroweak scale $\Lambda_{EW} \sim 246 \text{ GeV}$ because
 - (1) $\rho = \frac{\sum_i [T(T+1) - T_{3i}^2] v_i^2}{2 \sum_i T_{3i}^2 v_i^2}$ tells us that if only the Higgs triplet is present to break the SM, one would have $\rho = 1/2$! Experimentally, $\rho = 1$ to a good precision.
 - (2) If g_Δ is **not unnaturally small** then v_Δ has to be small in order to have a *tiny* neutrino mass.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- In that scenario, v_Δ has to be **small** compared with the electroweak scale $\Lambda_{EW} \sim 246 \text{ GeV}$ because
 - (1) $\rho = \frac{\sum_i [T(T+1) - T_3^2]_i v_i^2}{2 \sum_i T_{3i}^2 v_i^2}$ tells us that if only the Higgs triplet is present to break the SM, one would have $\rho = 1/2$! Experimentally, $\rho = 1$ to a good precision.
 - (2) If g_Δ is **not unnaturally small** then v_Δ has to be small in order to have a *tiny* neutrino mass.
- Many problems with this scenario: light singly and doubly charged scalars are not observed, etc...

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Non-renormalizable interactions

- $\phi l_L \sim 1 + 3 \Rightarrow SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ invariant
 $(\frac{\lambda}{M}) l_L^T \sigma_2 (\phi^T \phi) l_L$: Non-renormalizable term
with an **unknown** scale M .

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Non-renormalizable interactions

- $\phi l_L \sim 1 + 3 \Rightarrow SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ invariant
 $(\frac{\lambda}{M}) l_L^T \sigma_2 (\phi^T \phi) l_L$: Non-renormalizable term
with an **unknown** scale M .
- Majorana mass for the neutrino: $m_\nu = \lambda \frac{v^2}{2M}$.
 $m_\nu < 0.1 \text{ eV}$ if $\lambda v/M \sim 10^{-12} \Rightarrow M \sim 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$
(if $\lambda \sim O(1)$) Large!

Scenarios of neutrino masses

Non-renormalizable interactions

- $\phi l_L \sim 1 + 3 \Rightarrow SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ invariant
 $(\frac{\lambda}{M}) l_L^T \sigma_2 (\phi^T \phi) l_L$: Non-renormalizable term with an **unknown** scale M .
- Majorana mass for the neutrino: $m_\nu = \lambda \frac{v^2}{2M}$.
 $m_\nu < 0.1 \text{ eV}$ if $\lambda v/M \sim 10^{-12} \Rightarrow M \sim 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$
(if $\lambda \sim O(1)$) Large!
- Not much insight. Small neutrino masses replaced by a large unknown scale M .
Worse: How can we ever test this large scale?

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Dirac case

- Suppose we add ν_R 's and suppose they are electroweak singlets.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Dirac case

- Suppose we add ν_R 's and suppose they are electroweak singlets.
- Simplest possibility: Lepton-number conserving $g_\nu l_L^\dagger \tilde{\phi} \nu_R + H.c. \Rightarrow g_\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \nu_L^\dagger \nu_R + H.c.$
 \Rightarrow Dirac mass: $m_D = g_\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$. This is assuming that the SM conserves lepton number.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Dirac case

- Suppose we add ν_R 's and suppose they are electroweak singlets.
- Simplest possibility: Lepton-number conserving $g_\nu l_L^\dagger \tilde{\phi} \nu_R + H.c. \Rightarrow g_\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \nu_L^\dagger \nu_R + H.c.$
 \Rightarrow Dirac mass: $m_D = g_\nu \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$. This is assuming that the SM conserves lepton number.
- For $m_D < 0.1 \text{ eV} \Rightarrow g_\nu < 10^{-12}$. Nothing wrong with that but very unnatural!

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Dirac case

- It is possible to obtain $g_\nu < 10^{-12}$ **dynamically** by enlarging the SM e.g. one-loop (or higher) radiative corrections (i.e. $g_\nu = 0$ at tree-level); or by enlarging the number of spatial dimensions: Large Extra Dimensions.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Dirac case

- It is possible to obtain $g_\nu < 10^{-12}$ **dynamically** by enlarging the SM e.g. one-loop (or higher) radiative corrections (i.e. $g_\nu = 0$ at tree-level); or by enlarging the number of spatial dimensions: Large Extra Dimensions.
- How can one tell whether it's Dirac or not?

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Majorana case

- If (we want) $g_\nu \sim O(1)$ and $< 0.1 eV$ mass \Rightarrow add a lepton-number violating term $M \nu_R^T \sigma_2 \nu_R$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

ν_R : Electroweak singlet. Majorana case

- If (we want) $g_\nu \sim O(1)$ and $< 0.1 eV$ mass \Rightarrow add a lepton-number violating term $M \nu_R^T \sigma_2 \nu_R$.
- Show that: $M \nu_R^T \sigma_2 \nu_R + m_D (\nu_L^\dagger \nu_R + H.c.)$ can be written as $N^T M_\nu N$ with $N \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\nu \equiv \nu_L, \chi \equiv \sigma_2 \nu_R^*$$

$$\Rightarrow M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D & M \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Mass eigenstates: M and $-\frac{m_D^2}{M}$ when $M \gg m_D$. Famous **seesaw** mechanism!

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Mass eigenstates: M and $-\frac{m_D^2}{M}$ when $M \gg m_D$. Famous **seesaw** mechanism!
- Previous formula is for a single flavor. In general: $-m_D^T M^{-1} m_D$ and M , where m_D and M are 3x3 (or higher?) matrices.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Mass eigenstates: M and $-\frac{m_D^2}{M}$ when $M \gg m_D$. Famous **seesaw** mechanism!
- Previous formula is for a single flavor. In general: $-m_D^T M^{-1} m_D$ and M , where m_D and M are 3x3 (or higher?) matrices.
- Neutrinos are Majorana particles and are their own anti-particles. For a proof, see Mohapatra and Senjanovic (1980).

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- Mass eigenstates: M and $-\frac{m_D^2}{M}$ when $M \gg m_D$. Famous **seesaw** mechanism!
- Previous formula is for a single flavor. In general: $-m_D^T M^{-1} m_D$ and M , where m_D and M are 3x3 (or higher?) matrices.
- Neutrinos are Majorana particles and are their own anti-particles. For a proof, see Mohapatra and Senjanovic (1980).
- In this type of scenario, M is **arbitrary**.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- *Low $M < GeV$* : Light **sterile** (right-handed) neutrinos. Motivations: LSND experiment (most probably ruled out) ($\sim 1 eV$ sterile); Warm Dark Matter and pulsar kicks ($\sim O(keV)$ sterile), etc...

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- $Low\ M < GeV$: Light **sterile** (right-handed) neutrinos. Motivations: LSND experiment (most probably ruled out) ($\sim 1\ eV$ sterile); Warm Dark Matter and pulsar kicks ($\sim O(keV)$ sterile), etc...
- Price? To have **active** neutrino masses less than $0.1\ eV \Rightarrow$ **Very** small Yukawa couplings! Why would one need seesaw then? Why can't we just have Dirac masses with small Yukawa couplings?

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- *Electroweak-scale* $M \sim \Lambda_{EW}$: The motivations are LHC-related, the wish to “find” these right-handed neutrinos there.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- *Electroweak-scale* $M \sim \Lambda_{EW}$: The motivations are LHC-related, the wish to “find” these right-handed neutrinos there.
- Price? To have **active** neutrino masses less than 0.1 eV \Rightarrow **Very delicate** cancellation among the matrix elements of the Dirac mass matrix m_D such that ***tiny non-zero eigenvalues*** arise from unknown perturbations. **Why would one need seesaw then? Why can't we just have Dirac masses with small Yukawa couplings?**

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- For a more natural case where $m_D \sim O(\text{GeV})$
 $\Rightarrow M > 10^{13} \text{ GeV!}$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- For a more natural case where $m_D \sim O(\text{GeV})$
 $\Rightarrow M > 10^{13} \text{ GeV!}$
- Physics motivation: Grand Unified Theories.
Gauge coupling unification. Quarks and leptons in the same multiplet.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- For a more natural case where $m_D \sim O(\text{GeV})$
 $\Rightarrow M > 10^{13} \text{ GeV!}$
- Physics motivation: Grand Unified Theories.
Gauge coupling unification. Quarks and leptons in the same multiplet.
- Group together:
 $((\nu_L, e_L), (u_L^i, d_L^i), e_R, u_R^i, d_R^i, \nu_R) = 16$ degrees of freedom \Rightarrow 16-dimensional spinor of $SO(10)$.

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- For e.g. $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(5) \rightarrow SM \Rightarrow$ **Split ν_R** from the **15 SM degrees of freedom** at $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow$ Expect the right-handed Majorana mass to be of the order of $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}!$

Scenarios of neutrino masses

- For e.g. $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(5) \rightarrow SM \Rightarrow$ Split ν_R from the 15 SM degrees of freedom at $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow$ Expect the right-handed Majorana mass to be of the order of $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$!
- Practically impossible to directly detect ν_R 's and test the seesaw mechanism! Indirect test through various aspects of unification. Not there yet!

The question of parity violation

- Question: Under what conditions can one obtain right-handed Majorana masses to be **naturally** light enough to be detected perhaps at the LHC?

The question of parity violation

- Question: Under what conditions can one obtain right-handed Majorana masses to be **naturally** light enough to be detected perhaps at the LHC?
- T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang's famous 1957 paper: "The conservation of parity is usually accepted without questions concerning its possible limit of validity being asked. There is actually no *a priori* reason why its violation is undesirable. As is well-known, its violation implies the existence of a right-left asymmetry.

The question of parity violation

We have seen in the above some possible experimental tests of this asymmetry. These experiments test whether the present elementary particles exhibit asymmetrical behavior with respect to the right and the left. If such asymmetry is indeed found, the question could still be raised whether there could not exist corresponding elementary particles exhibiting opposite asymmetry such that in the broader sense there will still be over-all right-left symmetry...”

The question of parity violation

- The realization of Lee and Yang's dream: Mirror fermions and the natural possibility of right-handed neutrinos with electroweak-scale masses.